



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/575,072	05/22/2006	Gerard Martin Paul Giblin	PB60531USW	8646
23347	7590	11/25/2009	EXAMINER	
GLAXOSMITHKLINE			GOON, SCARLETT Y	
CORPORATE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY, MAI B482			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
FIVE MOORE DR., PO BOX 13398			1623	
RESEARCH TRIANGLE PARK, NC 27709-3398			NOTIFICATION DATE	
			11/25/2009	
			DELIVERY MODE	
			ELECTRONIC	

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

USCIPRTP@GSK.COM  
LAURA.M.MCCULLEN@GSK.COM  
JULIE.D.MCFALLS@GSK.COM

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/575,072	GIBLIN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> SCARLETT GOON	<b>Art Unit</b> 1623	

**All Participants:**

(1) SCARLETT GOON.

**Status of Application:** abandoned

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Mr. Robert Brink.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 17 November 2009

**Time:** 12:34 pm

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Mr. Brink, Applicants' representative, was contacted to determine whether a response had been filed with the Office in reply to the Office Action dated 5 March 2009. A message was left on the voicemail of Applicants' representative, but a return call has not been received as of 19 November 2009.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/SCARLETT GOON/  
 Examiner, Art Unit 1623

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)